

| | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/526,221 | FERREIRA ET AL. |
| | Examiner Cynthia Collins | Art Unit 1638 |

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Collins. (3) _____.
 (2) Tom Cunningham. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 October 2010

Status of Application: after final

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

20, 30-31, 34-35

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendment of claims 30-31 to recite that the plant part is transgenic; the amendment of claims 34-35 to depend from claim 24; the amendment of claim 20 to refer to claim preamble

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cynthia Collins/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)